



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,194	03/11/2004	Satoshi Ohkawa	249625US2DIV 4727		
22850	7590 08/24/2005	•	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ROGERS, SCOTT A		
-	SIREEI UA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	·		2626		
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	T				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence		10/797,194	OHKAWA, SATOSHI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
		Scott A. Rogers	2626				
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timel the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	ebruary 2005.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7,9-15 and 17-22 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 5-7,9,14,15 and 18-22 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 4, 10, 13, and 17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2,3,11 and 12 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/492,116</u> ed in this National	-			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		- 152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/797,194

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 23 February 2005, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-2, 5-6, 10-11, 14, and 17-18 under 35 USC 102(e) in view of Matsubayashi (US 6459419) and claims 3-4, 7, 9, 12-13, 15, and 19 under 35 USC 102(e) further in view of Kanno et al (US 6434266), have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, these rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as set forth below.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 10, and 17 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,788,441 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

patentably distinct from each other because the patented claims contain all the limitations of the pending application claims and therefore anticipate the pending application claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, there is no antecedent basis for "said hue are selection unit" nor is there any antecedent basis for "the color instructed by said color conversion instruction unit". There is no hue are selection unit in the claims, but rather a hue area identification unit in claim 1. Perhaps applicant meant to recite said mask coefficient selection unit selecting a masking coefficient. As for the color conversion instruction unit, this limitation is found in claim 2. However, it is noted that claim 3 depends directly from claim 1 which would raise a problem if claim 4 were amended to depend from claim 3 and to recite said mask coefficient selection unit selecting a masking coefficient based on the color instructed by said color conversion instruction unit, requiring also the limitations in claim 2. Claim 3 would need to been amended to depend from claim 2 in such a situation.

Art Unit: 2626

In claim 13, there is no antecedent basis for "said hue are selection step" nor is there any antecedent basis for "the color instructed by said color conversion instruction step". There is no hue are selection step in the claims, but rather a hue area identification step in claim 10. Perhaps applicant meant to recite said mask coefficient selection step selecting a masking coefficient. As for the color conversion instruction step, this limitation is found in claim 11. However, it is noted that claim12 depends directly from claim 10 which would raise a problem if claim 13 were amended to depend from claim 12 and to recite said mask coefficient selection step selecting a masking coefficient based on the color instructed by said color conversion instruction step, requiring also the limitations in claim 11. Claim 12 would need to been amended to depend from claim 11 in such a situation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-3 and 11-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 5-7, 9, 14-15, and 18-22 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2626

Cited Art

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Komatsu discloses in the third embodiment, determining color image data distribution in regions in the compressed axial direction when a color map of an input image is seen on a plane within an area having constant brightness, and Yumiba et al disclose converting a chromaticity image signal in a u-v chromaticity plane.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A Rogers whose telephone number is 571-272-7467. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday & Wednesday 6:00am-6:00pm and Tuesday & Thursday 6:00am-2:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached at 571-272-7471.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to TC2600 Customer Service at 571-272-2600. Official correspondence by facsimile should be sent to 571-273-8300. The USPTO contact Center phone numbers are 800-PTO-9199.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCOTT ROSERS

22 August 2005